

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA  
8

9 United States of America, ) 08-3302M  
10 Plaintiff, ) **ORDER**  
11 vs. )  
12 )  
13 Dilmer Espinoza-Calix, )  
14 Defendant. )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )

16 Today, Defendant Dilmer Espinoza-Calix filed an Objection to Depositions  
17 for Material Witnesses for the material witness video depositions scheduled for 9:00 a.m.  
18 today. (docket # 24<sup>1</sup>) Defense counsel provided the Court a copy of his Objection, filed at  
19 8:57 a.m. this morning. The video depositions were set for today, beginning at 9:00 a.m.,  
20 back on July 30, 2008 in the physical presence of Defendant's counsel. Defendant's  
21 Objection does not indicate why defense counsel waited until three minutes before the start  
22 of the material witness video depositions to file his Objection.

23 ///


24 ///

25 ///

26  
27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The docket erroneously reflects that Defendant's Objection is a witness list.

1                   **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant's Objection to Depositions for Material  
2 Witnesses, docket # 24, is **OVERRULED**.

3                   DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2008.

4                     
5                   Lawrence O. Anderson  
6                   United States Magistrate Judge